

20041228.ba v03_n746.bam.20041228

>From ???@??? Tue Dec 28 20:37:27 2004 -0600
Date: Tue, 28 Dec 2004 20:33:56 CST
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 3746
Message-Id: <20041228213237.DF3FA3FDFA@srvr1.theporch.com>

BOATANCHORS Digest 3746

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) wooden crates?
by "James C. Garland" <4cx250b@muohio.edu>
- 2) Re: faraday cage
by jan@skirrow.org
- 3) TRW Swap Meet Moved?
by WA5CAB@cs.com
- 4) Re: TRW Swap Meet Moved?
by "Don Davis" <dxguy@earthlink.net>
- 5) Re: TRW Swap Meet Moved?
by Jim Hill <Jim2_w6ivw@cox.net>
- 6) Re: Best boatanchor investment?
by wb3fau@att.net
- 7) Re: Best boatanchor investment?
by Scott Robinson <spr@earthlink.net>
- 8) Chippewa Front Panel and Meter
by "Paul Monroe" <pmonroe@charter.net>
- 9) Re: Best boatanchor investment?
by Buzz <buzz@softcom.net>
- 10) 6J7 grid-connect shield-cap
by "Marty Reynolds' debris field" <polepeeg@ba-watch.org>
- 11) WWII Japanese 94-5 Receiver
by David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
- 12) RE: GB> RE: Best Boatanchor investment?
by "Merz Donald S" <merz.ds@mellon.com>
- 13) Re: WWII Japanese 94-5 Receiver
by Jerry Proc <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>
- 14) Re: [BULK] RE: GB> RE: Best Boatanchor investment?
by Bob Roehrig <broehrig@aurora.edu>
- 15) RE: GB> RE: Best Boatanchor investment?
by "john" <johnmb@nc.rr.com>
- 16) WTB DC3 motor
by stuck in 50s <polepeeg@ba-watch.org>
- 17) RE: WTB DC3 motor
by "Brian Goldsmith" <brian.goldsmith@echo1.com.au>
- 18) Re: WTB DC3 motor

by "ChasW3KC" <w3kc@verizon.net>

Message-Id: <6.0.1.1.2.20041226101554.01c38440@admin.muohio.edu>

Date: Sun, 26 Dec 2004 10:17:11 -0500

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

From: "James C. Garland" <4cx250b@muohio.edu>

Subject: wooden crates?

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Somewhere, I've seen a website about building wood crates for heavy boatanchors. Can anybody point me to it.

Tnx,

Jim W8ZR

Message-Id: <6.2.0.14.2.20041226145251.034e4eb0@mail.islandnet.com>

Date: Sun, 26 Dec 2004 15:04:43 -0800

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

From: jan@skirrow.org

Subject: Re: faraday cage

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/html; charset="us-ascii"

<p><pre>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

* To the SENDER of this email: *

* Please don't use HTML tags or 'rich text' here. *

* Set your emailer to turn that off. *

</pre><p>

<html>

<body>

An RF quiet room I worked with years ago was commercial product. It was a separate room inside a lab with a large equipment bench inside. All power and signal lines coming in went through multistage RF filters. The door was built like a pressure door, with a locking handle that pulled the door in against finger shielding on all four sides. Air was supplied through ports with multi-layer fine copper screening. The main shielding component of the walls was copper sheeting, with soldered seams. And yes, the quality of the ground was critical. A team came from the manufacturer to install and certify it. It was quiet.

If I were trying to come up with something for home use (which I probably wouldn't - but that's another issue), I'd make a cage using very fine mesh copper screening, or copper sheeting - solder everything and make it just big enough to hold the equipment. If you have to access the controls, you have a further complication. Ground it very carefully and make sure leads in and out are well filtered. Otherwise, stray RF will get in and you'll hear it! The access hatch must be RF tight - so finger stock or many screws and clean seams.

Good luck!

Jan Skirrow, VE7DJX

<x-sigsep><p></x-sigsep>

<div align="center">=====

On Canada's Beautiful Wet Coast

For Info and SSB Detector Kits for the R390 & R390A

Visit:

http://skirrow.org/Boatanchors/

For Info on Premium Modern Radios

Visit:

http://kahuna.sdsu.edu/~mechtron/PremRxPage/

=====

</div>

</body>

</html>

From: WA5CAB@cs.com

Message-ID: <1ef.31ec4016.2f00c57e@cs.com>

Date: Sun, 26 Dec 2004 20:55:10 EST

Subject: TRW Swap Meet Moved?

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="part1_1ef.31ec4016.2f00c57e_boundary"

--part1_1ef.31ec4016.2f00c57e_boundary

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Groups,

Although I'm much too far away to have ever made the TRW Swap Meet, I've seen it mentioned from time to time over the years on many of these lists, mostly in the context of some goodie just found there. I don't recall seeing any recent mention. Anyway, a guy from whom I just bought a Soldering/Desoldering

station happened to mention it, but said that it had moved sorta without telling anyone. So according to him, for the benefit of those close enough to go, here's where it went:

TRW Swap Meet last Saturday of every month in Redondo Beach, CA, corner of Marine and Aviation, 7:00 am to 11:30 am.....http://www.w6trw.com/swapmeet/w6trw_arc_swapmeet.htm .

73

Robert Downs - Houston
<<http://www.wa5cab.com>> (Web Store)
<wa5cab@cs.com> (Primary email)
<wa5cab@houston.rr.com> (Backup email)

--part1_1ef.31ec4016.2f00c57e_boundary
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

--part1_1ef.31ec4016.2f00c57e_boundary--

Message-ID: <007101c4ebb8\$98c25940\$8c27e804@hppav>
From: "Don Davis" <dxguy@earthlink.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: TRW Swap Meet Moved?
Date: Sun, 26 Dec 2004 18:05:36 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Yeah it moved - across the street!

Held every month, rain or shine holiday or no.

73s,

Don AD6PB

> station happened to mention it, but said that it had moved sorta without telling
> anyone. So according to him, for the benefit of those close enough to go,
> here's where it went:

Message-Id: <5.2.0.9.2.20041226220558.009f6770@pop.west.cox.net>
Date: Sun, 26 Dec 2004 22:25:24 -0800
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Jim Hill <Jim2_w6ivw@cox.net>
Subject: Re: TRW Swap Meet Moved?
Cc: "Don Davis" <dxguy@earthlink.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Hi Don:

Do you mean it had moved on December 25 (assuming it was held that day)? I'll admit I'm getting pretty old, but think I was there for the November meet at the location listed on <http://w6trw.com/swapmeet/Visitor%20Map1.pdf>. and heard nothing about a change. It used to be at the N.W. corner of Aviation and Marine, behind the gas station, then moved one parking lot to the west, but that was years ago when I worked at TRW.

It probably was held on the 25th. I can remember years ago the swap meet was back-to-back with a big ham radio event; think it was Field Day. The club decided to not have the meet, but we heard the meet occurred anyway, just no club members were running it.

73's Jim

At 06:05 PM 12/26/2004 -0800, you wrote:

>Yeah it moved - across the street!

>

>Held every month, rain or shine holiday or no.

>

>73s,

>

>Don AD6PB

>

> > station happened to mention it, but said that it had moved sorta without telling

> > anyone. So according to him, for the benefit of those close enough to go,
> > here's where it went:

From: wb3fau@att.net
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
Subject: Re: Best boatanchor investment?
Date: Mon, 27 Dec 2004 19:36:56 +0000
Message-Id:
<122720041936.13033.41D06457000B8343000032E921612436469A0E00CC0D99@att.net>

When it comes to BAs,[whatever it is-] its not how nice it looks
[or will look] its just a matter of what you get out of it- how
much you enjoy working on it and using it. Rarely will I work on
something that I think is not worth my time. In other words, beauty
is in the eye of the beholder. Merry Christmas all you BAs.

Mime-Version: 1.0
Message-Id: <p06020405bdf625e69557@[192.168.1.2]>
Date: Mon, 27 Dec 2004 12:51:25 -0800
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Scott Robinson <spr@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Best boatanchor investment?
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" ; format="flowed"

Folks,

For those that particularly like working on our BAs, could that be

"Beauty is in the eye of the besolderer?"

Scott

>When it comes to BAs,[whatever it is-] its not how nice it looks
>[or will look] its just a matter of what you get out of it- how
>much you enjoy working on it and using it. Rarely will I work on
>something that I think is not worth my time. In other words, beauty
>is in the eye of the beholder. Merry Christmas all you BAs.

Message-ID: <002101c4ec79\$10588090\$6400a8c0@Mongo>
From: "Paul Monroe" <pmonroe@charter.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Chippewa Front Panel and Meter
Date: Mon, 27 Dec 2004 19:03:37 -0600

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
format=flowed;
charset="iso-8859-1";
reply-type=original
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello,

I hope everyone had a very pleasant Christmas holiday. Here are the results of the front panel cleaning.

1. Simple Green did a first rate job. It got all the nicotine off with about a 10 minute soak. The panel was rinsed well with tap water and dried thoroughly. Of course, the panel had been removed from the amplifier. This is a very easy job with the Chippewa.

2. The permanent marker was not so easy to remove. Naptha did not bother the finish, but it didn't work too well. However, 91% Isopropyl alcohol really made a dent in the marks. Apparently the solvent used in the permanent marker dissolves a very thin layer of the original enamel on the front panel. The swelling of the paint is very visible under low (2.5X) magnification. Polishing the area with "Blue Magic" rubbing compound followed by a re-application of the Isopropyl really took out most of what was left. The applied numbers are now just a faint ghost of what they were. You have to look hard to see them.

3. One of the marks on the front panel was made with a blue permanent marker. That marker will not come out. It might come out with acetone, but a test on the back of the panel where there was some overspray showed that acetone also dissolves the panel finish, so that's a no go.

4. The meter zero adjust screw is made of a hard plastic. No problem with arc over.

Now all that remains is to remove any undocumented "improvements" and restore the electronics to their original form.

Once again, thanks to everyone for their helpful suggestions.

73,

Paul Monroe, W9MEH

Date: Mon, 27 Dec 2004 21:36:38 -0800
From: Buzz <buzz@softcom.net>
Subject: Re: Best boatanchor investment?

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-id: <n4s1t0psdkh4prp72lvbf7v39u98iljm9h@4ax.com>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

OR,,,,, "Beauty is in the eye of the beastholderer"?

Buzz

On Mon, 27 Dec 2004 12:51:25 -0800, you wrote:

>Folks,

>

>For those that particularly like working on our BAs, could that be

>

>"Beauty is in the eye of the besolderer?"

>

>Scott

>

>

>>When it comes to BAs,[whatever it is-] its not how nice it looks
>>[or will look] its just a matter of what you get out of it- how
>>much you enjoy working on it and using it. Rarely will I work on
>>something that I think is not worth my time. In other words, beauty
>>is in the eye of the beholder. Merry Christmas all you BAs.

Message-ID: <10461.24.30.83.206.1104239305.squirrel@fracas.netboobie.org>
Date: Tue, 28 Dec 2004 08:08:25 -0500 (EST)
Subject: 6J7 grid-connect shield-cap
From: "Marty Reynolds' debris field" <polepeeg@ba-watch.org>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Is there an 800 number where people are taking calls for these things?

I just know I've seen one somewhere, but durned if I know where.
& wudn't u know I need 2.

Use is for a cute 'lil Operadio 1005 sideshow bob carny amp with one 6N6G
4W output. Love it's big chrome ID plate.

Think I expressed hope there'd be a print in BA land & none arose. But

a past, failed circuit mechanic left enough original detritus behind to get the circuit gists & it's now working fine. Playing a boosted CD cut of B Crosby's "Did you ever spend Christmas on Christmas Island?"

Can you imagine the QSL responsibility if a DX-pedition went there 12/25?

A 1/4"-shaft red "square sculpted" pointer knob would be cute too

Marty hope-springs-eternal the AA4RM

Message-ID: <41D17184.2060104@ix.netcom.com>
Date: Tue, 28 Dec 2004 08:45:24 -0600
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: WWII Japanese 94-5 Receiver
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I just acquired my first piece of Axis gear-
a Japanese 94-5 receiver.
I'm tickled but, being a compulsive "completeist,"
I've now let my self in for a likely doomed
attempt at finding all the other "goodies" for a 94-5 set.

It's in very nice shape on the panel and inside,
but the case has some "issues." Some of the
hardware has been removed and the leather flaps are missing.

1. Can someone take some photos of the sides and top of
an original case so I can fabricate replacement hardware?
Also- how did the leather attach?

Are there any good-condition cases floating around?

2. Does anyone have a circuit diagram? I have no
intention of making any wiring changes at all.
With the exception of a couple of wires tacked in
for a headphone, it's all original and very clean.
I'd love to hear it, but if I can't get it to
play without changes than it just doesn't play.

3. What's the current "feel" for repainting the case?

4. The tubes inside are based like many British tubes
of the same era- 4-pinner with one pin offset and
"banana plug" leaf springs on the pins. Are their

British tubes that will work here? They might be hard to find and expensive, but I suspect not as scarce as these originals with pre-war kanjis on them.

5. Two tube shields are missing. They look like common shields that were on several commercial American radios in the 1930s. Anyone?

6. The filament pot knob is offset 45 degrees from the correct position, but I'm intimidated about trying to remove and fix it. Are these knobs fragile?

7. Know anyone who can read pre-war kanjis?

8. The tuning charts are beginning to fade. I'm carefully removing the charts to scan them. Any attempt to put the originals back in the tight little holders would surely destroy them, so they will go in preserving sleeves and be replaced by the replicas. Anyone want copies of the scans?

Thanks for any help.
73 Dave S.

Message-ID: <20041228163853.18929.qmail@mellon.com>
content-class: urn:content-classes:message
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Subject: RE: GB> RE: Best Boatanchor investment?
Date: Tue, 28 Dec 2004 11:38:51 -0500
From: "Merz Donald S" <merz.ds@mellon.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Santa got in just fine, thanks. But he didn't bring any boatanchors with =
him. My one son's middle school chorus sang the Grinch song and the =
other son's band played it. So I guess I'm not the only one getting the =
Grinch's hairy eyeball.

My collection is good for me. I paid almost nothing in cash for it, =
though I did work my rear end off to collect it. And I was lucky to have =
some real adventures along the way! Hopefully, a few more of those will =
come along.=20

You need to see this PP 250TH 1930's open rack transmitter that I picked up. Modulated by PP 810s with a 30 pound RCA mod transformer...filaments = glowing and exposed high voltages everywhere... it's a page from a 1934 = QST sprung to life...or Dr. Frankenstein's laboratory.

73, Don Merz, N3RHT

-----Original Message-----

From: w8au@sssnet.com [mailto:w8au@sssnet.com]

Sent: Friday, December 24, 2004 12:16 AM

To: Merz Donald S; Bob Roehrig; Morris Odell; glowbugs

Cc: Old Tube Radios

Subject: RE: GB> RE: Best Boatanchor investment?

At 11:25 AM 12/23/04, Merz Donald S wrote:

>as anyone who knows me will have read before, my position is that=20
>boatanchors are a terrible investment.
>This is mostly because the demographics suck. Sometime in the next 25=20
>years, 90% of all of us BA owners will retire or die. All our estates =
will=20
>hit the market (or the dumpster) as we move south or when our heirs =
take over.
>The only thing keeping the hobby going today is that we all buy and =
sell=20
>from and to each other. When that time comes--as it must come--that a=20
>majority of us decide to buy less and sell more, the results will not =
be=20
>pretty.
>
> I'd be interested in seeing some analysis that disputes these =
conclusions.

As I wipe a tear from my eye, (Sob!) I think the only possibility is the =
occasional young member of our society who likes "reenacting." There=20
are many young folks that like this theme (not the majority, but are WE =

the majority?) and I see this type of individual glorying in "period"=20
things, even radios.... and many of these folks will get a license, =
just=20
to be able to use and demonstrate them... maybe even in period =
costume.....
Can't you see it now? A twenty something dressed in V-neck sweater, =
with
Elvis Presley duck-tail haircut, white Buck shoes..... sitting in front =

of
a Hallicrafters SX-99 and a Heath DX-100..... Some dream, huh?

I hope you let Santa in tomorrow night, Don, and not the Grinch! :-)

(I still say you have the nicest BA stuff.... ya just need to enlarge =
your=20
basement!) :-)

Perry w8au

Perry w8au
=20

The information contained in this e-mail may be confidential and is =
intended solely for the use of the named addressee.
Access, copying or re-use of the e-mail or any information contained =
therein by any other person is not authorized.
If you are not the intended recipient please notify us immediately by =
returning the e-mail to the originator.(A)

Message-ID: <41D18D1F.2A6AC055@sympatico.ca>
Date: Tue, 28 Dec 2004 11:43:12 -0500
From: Jerry Proc <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: boatanchors@theporch.com
Subject: Re: WWII Japanese 94-5 Receiver
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Dave,

There is a photo of the receiver at :
[http://www.armyradio.com/publish/Articles/William_Howard_Japan/Pictures/
t94-5_2.jpg](http://www.armyradio.com/publish/Articles/William_Howard_Japan/Pictures/t94-5_2.jpg)

See if you can find out if Army Sales has any other info they can
provide.
[http://www.armyradio.com/arsc/customer/pages.php?pageurl=/publish/Articles/
William_Howard_Japan/JapaneseType94-5WirelessStation.htm](http://www.armyradio.com/arsc/customer/pages.php?pageurl=/publish/Articles/William_Howard_Japan/JapaneseType94-5WirelessStation.htm)

--

David Stinson wrote:

> I just acquired my first piece of Axis gear-

> a Japanese 94-5 receiver.
> I'm tickled but, being a compulsive "completeist,"
> I've now let my self in for a likely doomed
> attempt at finding all the other "goodies" for a 94-5 set.
>
> It's in very nice shape on the panel and inside,
> but the case has some "issues." Some of the
> hardware has been removed and the leather flaps are missing.
>
> 1. Can someone take some photos of the sides and top of
> an original case so I can fabricate replacement hardware?
> Also- how did the leather attach?
> Are there any good-condition cases floating around?
>
> 2. Does anyone have a circuit diagram? I have no
> intention of making any wiring changes at all.
> With the exception of a couple of wires tacked in
> for a headphone, it's all original and very clean.
> I'd love to hear it, but if I can't get it to
> play without changes than it just doesn't play.
>
> 3. What's the current "feel" for repainting the case?
>
> 4. The tubes inside are based like many British tubes
> of the same era- 4-pinner with one pin offset and
> "banana plug" leaf springs on the pins. Are their
> British tubes that will work here? They might be
> hard to find and expensive, but I suspect not as
> scarce as these originals with pre-war kanjis on them.
>
> 5. Two tube shields are missing. They look like
> common shields that were on several commercial
> American radios in the 1930s. Anyone?
>
> 6. The filament pot knob is offset 45 degrees
> from the correct position, but I'm intimidated about
> trying to remove and fix it. Are these knobs fragile?
>
> 7. Know anyone who can read pre-war kanjis?
>
> 8. The tuning charts are beginning to fade.
> I'm carefully removing the charts to scan them.
> Any attempt to put the originals back in the
> tight little holders would surely destroy them,
> so they will go in preserving sleeves and
> be replaced by the replicas.
> Anyone want copies of the scans?
>

> Thanks for any help.
> 73 Dave S.

--

Regards,
Jerry Proc VE3FAB
Toronto, Ontario
e-mail: jerry.proc@sympatico.ca
<http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/ve3fab>

Date: Tue, 28 Dec 2004 12:50:20 -0600 (CST)
From: Bob Roehrig <broehrig@aurora.edu>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: [BULK] RE: GB> RE: Best Boatanchor investment?
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.4.58.0412281245150.424037@mail.aurora.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Tue, 28 Dec 2004, Merz Donald S wrote:

> You need to see this PP 250TH 1930's open rack transmitter that I picked
> up. Modulated by PP 810s with a 30 pound RCA mod transformer...filaments
> glowing and exposed high voltages everywhere... it's a page from a 1934
> QST sprung to life...or Dr. Frankenstein's laboratory.

Reminds me of what many of the OT's had in their shacks when I was a kid.
If it wasn't 6 feet tall, it wasn't a rig :-)

The biggest home-brew rig I ever saw was a 20KW BC transmitter. It was a
spare for a 50KW station near Chicago. It was all wood, built in "racks"
with 2x4 vertical rails. Can't remember the tube line-up but I could have
had it all for the taking. The plate supply was in a separate room.

Bob Roehrig
Aurora University Telecom dept.
broehrig@aurora.edu 73 de K9EUI
630-844-4898 fax 630-844-4222
"Nostalgia is a thing of the past"

From: "john" <johnmb@nc.rr.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Date: Tue, 28 Dec 2004 15:20:28 -0500
Subject: RE: GB> RE: Best Boatanchor investment?

Cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-ID: <41D179BC.12088.BD8B21@localhost>
Content-description: Mail message body
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Show us some pictures when you can, Don. Sounds fantastic!
John

On 28 Dec 2004 at 11:38, Merz Donald S wrote:

> Santa got in just fine, thanks. But he didn't bring any boatanchors with him. My one son's middle school chorus sang the Grinch song and the other son's band played it. So I guess I'm not the only one getting the Grinch's hairy eyeball.

>

> My collection is good for me. I paid almost nothing in cash for it, though I did work my rear end off to collect it. And I was lucky to have some real adventures along the way! Hopefully, a few more of those will come along.

>

> You need to see this PP 250TH 1930's open rack transmitter that I picked up. Modulated by PP 810s with a 30 pound RCA mod transformer...filaments glowing and exposed high voltages everywhere... it's a page from a 1934 QST sprung to life...or Dr. Frankenstein's laboratory.

>

> 73, Don Merz, N3RHT

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: w8au@sssnnet.com [mailto:w8au@sssnnet.com]

> Sent: Friday, December 24, 2004 12:16 AM

> To: Merz Donald S; Bob Roehrig; Morris Odell; glowbugs

> Cc: Old Tube Radios

> Subject: RE: GB> RE: Best Boatanchor investment?

>

>

> At 11:25 AM 12/23/04, Merz Donald S wrote:

> >as anyone who knows me will have read before, my position is that

> >boatanchors are a terrible investment.

> >This is mostly because the demographics suck. Sometime in the next 25

> >years, 90% of all of us BA owners will retire or die. All our estates will

> >hit the market (or the dumpster) as we move south or when our heirs take over.

> >The only thing keeping the hobby going today is that we all buy and sell

> >from and to each other. When that time comes--as it must come--that a

> >majority of us decide to buy less and sell more, the results will not be

> >pretty.

> >
> > I'd be interested in seeing some analysis that disputes these conclusions.
>
>
> As I wipe a tear from my eye, (Sob!) I think the only possibility is the
> occasional young member of our society who likes "reenacting." There
> are many young folks that like this theme (not the majority, but are WE
> the majority?) and I see this type of individual glorying in "period"
> things, even radios.... and many of these folks will get a license, just
> to be able to use and demonstrate them... maybe even in period costume.....
> Can't you see it now? A twenty something dressed in V-neck sweater, with
> Elvis Presley duck-tail haircut, white Buck shoes..... sitting in front of
> a Hallicrafters SX-99 and a Heath DX-100..... Some dream, huh?
>
> I hope you let Santa in tomorrow night, Don, and not the Grinch! :-)
>
> (I still say you have the nicest BA stuff.... ya just need to enlarge your
> basement!) :-)
>
> Perry w8au
>
> Perry w8au
>
> The information contained in this e-mail may be confidential and is intended
solely for the use of the named addressee.
> Access, copying or re-use of the e-mail or any information contained therein by
any other person is not authorized.
> If you are not the intended recipient please notify us immediately by returning
the e-mail to the originator.(A)
>
>
> --
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.298 / Virus Database: 265.6.5 - Release Date: 12/26/2004
>

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.298 / Virus Database: 265.6.5 - Release Date: 12/26/2004

Date: Tue, 28 Dec 2004 18:43:43 -0500 (EST)
From: stuck in 50s <polepeeg@ba-watch.org>

Message-Id: <200412282343.iBSNhhDd019524@fracas.netboobie.org>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: WTB DC3 motor

say 500 hrs w. good compression

From: "Brian Goldsmith" <brian.goldsmith@echo1.com.au>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: RE: WTB DC3 motor
Date: Wed, 29 Dec 2004 11:33:02 +1100
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-Id: <20041228192955.AE9A63FD7D@srvr1.theporch.com>

-----Original Message-----
From:] stuck in 50s

Sent: Wednesday, 29 December 2004 10:44 AM
To: Old Tube Radios
Subject: WTB DC3 motor

say 500 hrs w. good compression

***** WTF???????

Brian Goldsmith.

Message-ID: <000c01c4ed4f\$aaddf2c20\$2f01a8c0@cvbg601>
From: "ChasW3KC" <w3kc@verizon.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: WTB DC3 motor
Date: Tue, 28 Dec 2004 21:39:54 -0500

Pratt & Whitney preferred?

----- Original Message -----
From: "stuck in 50s" <polepeeg@ba-watch.org>
To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 28, 2004 6:43 PM

Subject: WTB DC3 motor

> say 500 hrs w. good compression
>

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 3746
